

Report on the MTHR Programme





MTHR programme

- September 2007 report
 - research investigated whether health or other effects can result from mobile phones or base stations: exposures below guidelines
 - high quality achieved
 - report includes results obtained in other countries & recommends further research
- Extension of the programme MTHR2





Overview of MTHR

- Funded jointly by Government and Industry
- £8.8 M (£7.4 M plus "adjunct" funds of £1.4 M)
- 28 projects funded
- First projects started in Dec 2001
- 23 completed -mostly published in peerreviewed journals.





Programme Management

- Management
 - identification of areas of research to be done
 - 2. call for proposals
 - 3. selection of proposals
 - 4. management of research and finance
 - 5. dissemination of results
- Funded by Government and Industry both have vested interests
- Managed by Independent Committee
 - mostly University scientists
 - funders: no rôle or influence on management (firewall)
 - secretariat: Dept of Health,





Report

- 1. Cancers of Brain and Nervous System
- 2. Brain Function
- 3. Electrical Hypersensitivity
- 4. Biological Mechanisms
- 5. Base stations
- 6. Risk Communication
- 7. Mobile Phones and Driving





1. Cancers of the Brain and Nervous System Position in 2001: very few studies had been made to look for an association between risk of cancers of brain and nervous system and mobile phone use

- 2 epidemiological (case-control) studies of brain cancers and acoustic neuromas (part of international *Interphone* study)
 - found no association between incidence and exposures of less than 10 years
 - results are less clear for people who have used phones for more than 10 years; partly because their numbers are much smaller
 - cannot rule out possibility of an association
 - also cancer symptoms normally take more than 10 years to appear from time of exposure to whatever caused them
- A pilot epidemiological cohort study
 - valuable assistance in the design of a major study





2. Brain Function

Position in 2001: 2 studies had suggested phone signals affected cognitive function eg response times

- Largest programme of brain function studies on volunteers undertaken anywhere
 - numbers of volunteers and number of different studies
- 5 volunteer studies using GSM phones 2 also used TETRA handsets; examined possible reactions to wide range of cognitive and physiological functions.
 - no evidence for any reaction to phone signals
- Volunteer study using GSM and 3G base stations
 - no evidence for any reaction to base station signals





3. Electrical Hypersensitivity

Position in 2001: few systematic studies on phones and base stations; some conflicting reports. No study of prevalence in UK

- Largest programme of electrical hypersensitivity from phones and base stations undertaken anywhere:
- Study of prevalence in UK
 - 1 to 4% of people reported that they are electrically hypersensitive
 - proportion of women reporting this was twice that of men
- However, 2 volunteer studies using GSM mobile phones both found
 - no evidence for association between symptoms and phone signals
- Volunteer study using GSM and 3G base station signals found
 - no evidence for association between symptoms and base station signals





4. Biological Mechanisms

Position in 2001: evidence that RF signals expressed (activated) genes in nematode worms and also caused "calcium efflux": could the pulsing of RF signals enhance their interaction with tissue?

- Extension to original work on nematode worms including very detailed dosimetry and modelling of exposures.
 - RF raised sample temperature by 0.2C sufficient to explain the effects originally attributed to a non-thermal mechanism.
- Study to see whether calcium concentration in a wide range of cells is affected by RF signals.
 - not yet published
 - study funded by a Home Office programme found no effects
- Pulsing of RF only likely to affect interaction if tissue can demodulate the signals to produce low frequency currents. A very sensitive study to look for this is underway.





5. Base Stations

Position in 2001: no reliable studies of either short term or long term health effects. Little information on exposures from microcell and picocell base stations

- Volunteer study to look for short term effects: see hypersensitivity.
- Epidemiological study of young children (ongoing)
- Technical assessment of a new personal exposure meter which may make it possible to obtain reliable information on exposure. Epidemiological study of adults is presently not feasible since such information is not available.
- A study of power densities from microcell and picocell base stations.
 - measurements made near 20 base stations show power densities generally greater than from macrocell base stations for distances along the ground of less than 50 m. Greatest measured = 8.6% of ICNIRP guidelines



6. Risk Communication

Position in 2001: no <u>independent</u> systematic studies of risk communication regarding mobile telecommunications

- Study to assess public understanding of scientific uncertainty and reactions to government advice on mobile phones and base stations
 - few had seen the DoH leaflets (15% phone & 10% base station leaflets)
 - poor appreciation of precautionary advice
 - other strategies needed to inform public: no clear advice on what these should be





7. Mobile Phones and Driving

Position in 2001: well known that phone use while driving (both hands on or hands free) impairs performance and increases risk of accident.

- Volunteer study to assess the relative hazards of using a phone
 - measures of performance impairment: no worse than those of other distractions: conversations with passengers, adjustment of controls etc. (NB these are less likely to occur in potentially risky situations)
 - suggestions that phone use draws on greater cognitive resources than other distractions





MTHR1 Summary

- No evidence for short term effects from handsets
- No evidence for short term effects from base stations (GSM and 3G)
- No evidence that the unpleasant symptoms attributed by electrically hypersensitive people are caused by signals from handsets or base stations.
- Epidemiological studies of brain tumours
 - no association between incidence and exposures of less than 10 years
 - cannot rule out possibility of association for exposures of more than 10 years
- Replication studies of biological effects reported earlier
 - gene expression no effects seen
 - Ca efflux not yet published (no effects seen in Home Office study)





MTHR1 Remaining Studies

- Children's cancer and base stations
- Adult leukaemia and mobile phone use
- Non-linear and demodulation mechanisms
- Calcium efflux





Conclusions

- MTHR1, plus work elsewhere, has answered many questions but not all:
 - Epidemiological studies leave some uncertainty. Latency of cancers is more than 10 years: more than most people's exposure.
 - Very little work done on children. May be more sensitive than adults to other effects (pollution, UV, ionising radiation).
- Both are WHO priority areas





MTHR2

- Extension to MTHR programme
- Similar structure to MTHR1:
 - joint funding from government and industry of £5 million so far
 - independent management committee





MTHR2

- 1. A UK component for an international cohort study of mobile phone users
 - advantage of cohort study is it rules out bias
 - can also study many diseases: brain tumours neuro-degenerative diseases (Parkinson's, Alzheimer's ..) etc.
 - study involves around 200,000 people
 - Denmark, Finland, Sweden and UK





MTHR2

- 2. Epidemiological studies to look for associations between RF exposure and childhood diseases.
- 3. Volunteer studies of electrical hypersensitivity in relation to TETRA radios and base stations (under way)





MTHR2-June 2008 update

- Call for outline proposals November 2007
- Evaluation Jan 2008
- UK part of COSMOS started March 2008
- Study of children being considered www.mthr.org.uk



