Exposure of the general public due to digital broadcast transmitters compared to analogue ones

Markus Schubert, Dr. Christian Bornkessel **IMST GmbH** Carl-Friedrich-Gauß-Str. 2 D–47475 Kamp-Lintfort Prof. Dr. Matthias Wuschek, Peter Schmidt **EM Institut GmbH** Carlstraße 5 D-93049 Regensburg





Motivation

- Coverage aims of DVB-T
 - portable indoor (small room antenna)
 - portable outdoor (small rod antenna outside the building)
 - fixed antenna (antenna on the roof of a building)
- FAQ on the official German website for the switchover to DVB-T
 - "No. The digital broadcast requires lower transmitter power, so that the total exposure does not increase." (source: http://nrw.ueberallfernsehen.de)
- Study: MiniWatt

The digital signal experiences an attenuation through the wall which compensates the gain of digitizing.

=> Effect on the exposure situation is unclear!





General Aspects

- Time period for the project: October 2004 March 2006
- General project steps
 - 1. Survey
 - Signal structures of DVB-T, DAB, Analogue-TV and FM-Radio
 - Comparison of the transmitter density and installed ERP
 - Calculation methods, international projects etc.
 - 2. Development of methods for the exposure estimation
 - Measurement equipment and parameters
 - Development of a simple calculation tool, comparing with other methods
 - 3. Exposure determination
 - Extensive measurements
 - Comparison of measurements and calculations





Initiation of DVB-T in Germany







Exposure Measurement

- Comparison of exposure between:
 - Analogue TV (before) with
 - DVB-T (after)
- Additionally FM-radio, DAB (simulcast situation)
- Before/after in the identical area
 - Direct comparison possible
 - Results not influenced by topographic or morphographic differences
 - => DVB-T start regions North and South of Bavaria







Measurement points: Start region Nuremberg



- 200 statistically distributed points in both areas
- Measurement point density proportional to population
- "Before" "After" measurements at identical points





Measurement points: Start region Munich



- Statistically distributed points
- furthermore systematic measurements
 - "Line measurements"
 - "Height dependence"

=> Altogether more than <u>300</u> points





Measurement settings: analogue

Measurement: Spectrum analyzer with peak detector

Analogue-TV:

- mixture of different signals
- mean exposure is dependent on transmitted information
- measurement of immission due to peak sync power
 - reduction of 2.3 dB (Worst Case)
 - reduction of 4 dB (typical screen content)

		Detector	RBW	Sweep time
	Analogue – TV	Peak	0.3 – 3 MHz	Not critical
	FM-Radio	Peak	200 kHz	Not critical

Measurements were accomplished with SRM-3000 from Narda









Measurement settings: digital

Measurement: Spectrum analyzer with RMS detector

DVB-T and DAB:

- peak detector overestimates in dimension of the crest factor (10 – 12 dB)
- too small sweep times lead to overestimations
- too small RBW lead to underestimations (option: measurement value increased with a correction factor)

	Detector	RBW	Sweep time
DVB-T	RMS	6.6 / 7.6 MHz or correction	100 – 200 ms
DAB	RMS	1.5 MHz or correction	100 – 200 ms

Measurements were accomplished with SRM-3000 from Narda







Total Immission



- Exposure values have a big dynamic range of more than 50 dB
- Point with highest sum exposure shows only 0.3 % of allowed limits (power density)
- Increase of total exposure after the switchover to DVB-T





Immission: single services



- Mean Power density is not a good indicator to determine mean exposure
- Median value is much more better





Median - Exposure



- Decrease of the median values from DVB-T from 1st coverage class to 3rd
- FM-Radio and analogue-TV show a more uniform distribution
- Exposure comparison only representative in "portable indoor" region





DAB vs. FM-Radio



- Difference from FM-Radio to DAB is 11.3 dB
- Increase of transmitter power for DAB will reduce the dominance of FM-Radio





DVB-T start region Nuremberg



"portable indoor" region:

- 1. Region (Nuremberg, Erlangen, Fürth) Mean increase factor: 6.8 dB
 - => Displacement of TV-Transmitter
- 2. Region around Dillberg Moderate increase of 1.5 dB

Outside "portable indoor" region

NO significant change of mean exposure





DVB-T start region Munich



"portable indoor" region:

1. Munich, neighbourhood counties up to the Wendelstein mountain:

Mean increase factor: 6.5 dB

=> increase of ERP increase of antenna height at the Olympic tower

Outside "portable indoor" region

NO significant change of mean exposure





Summary of Exposure measurements

- Exposure values have a large dynamic range of more than 50 dB
- Only 0.3 % of the maximum allowed level (power density) was reached at point with largest sum-exposure
- Mean increase of the exposure values in "portable indoor" region (BUT: different factors: increase of ERP; displacement of transmitters; change of antenna height)
- Change of ERP at the transmitter of the considered region can be taken as a coarse indicator for the mean change of exposure (e.g. Dillberg: ERP increase = Exposure increase)
- DVB-T does not increase the exposure in general (ERP reductions were shown in Berlin and Bremen)
- The kind of exposure changing in the border areas can not be predicted yet (exposure is caused by further main analogue transmitters outside)





Comparison Measurement - Calculation

- Developed calculation method:
- FPT (Field Prediction Tool)
- based on ITU-R P.1546 (propagation curves)
- only a few input parameters
 - Transmitter power (kW ERP)
 - Antenna pattern (only horizontal)
 - Transmitter height over ground
 - Effective antenna height (-> here: mean height in all directions)
 - Correction factors for receiving antenna height depending on the configuration
 - dense urban area
 - urban area
 - suburban area
 - Iand







Measurement-Calculation: Analogue - TV



=> 1135 points for the comparison between measurement and calculations for analogue-TV





Calculation results for analogue TV

Configuration	Correction for rec. antenna height	Number of points	Mean deviation in [dB]	Percentage of points with overestimations
all	yes	1135	12.9	27.8
all	no	1135	24.8	96.2

- 1. Optimization for a small mean deviation
 - Mean deviation for all configurations is 9 dB
 - In 78% of all cases show only a difference of ± 3 dB
- 2. Optimization for a high percentage of points with an overestimation
 - 100 % overestimations for the background of measurement uncertainty
 - suitable as Worst Case method
 - But: high mean deviation of 30 dB

Same procedure for DVB-T, FM-Radio, DAB (country-wide and local)





Line Measurements







Line-Measurement: FM-Radio



 \Rightarrow Representation of the terrain height with only ONE value for a transmitter results in great differences





Line-Measurement: DVB-T, Channel 34



- DVB-T is a single frequency network => superposition of signals from all broadcast transmitters at a measurement point
- Calculation optimized to get a small mean deviation show a better accuracy
- Calculation optimized on points with overestimations: Worst Case, but high mean deviations





Summary: Calculation methods

- Development of a tool with only a few input parameters based on a ITU recommendation
- Optimization to get a small mean deviation
 - \Rightarrow Mean deviations from 7.3 to 11.5 dB
 - \Rightarrow But in 78 80 % of all cases difference of ± 3 dB (good accuracy)
- Optimization for points with an overestimation
 - \Rightarrow Suitable as Worst Case; but high mean deviations of 20 30 dB







Thank you very much for your interest!

Questions?



